

SECRET

(When Filled In)

4079

Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001800020033-6
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

1

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

4 Dec 64

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

5

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

19 Jun 66

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

NGA review(s)
completed.

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Study on PI Performance

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE



YES

☐ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED

20% of 2-yr effort
100% of accelerated
Study ITHE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

Final draft of report.

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)



YES



NO

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☒ EXCELLENT4. ☐ AVERAGE6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION



CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

TERMINATE



OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT ATTACH COMMENTS IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

4 Dec 64

25X1

25X1

[redacted] made about three 1-2 day visits to NPIC and followed the [redacted] PI testing program closely. He was extremely helpful and conscientious about smoothing out the trouble spots inherent in changing from one test version to another.

He also took a prime role in helping us outline the second accelerated task study which is to concern P.I. performance as a function of stereo convergence and angle of obliquity.

25X1

This week of 2 Nov. he worked with [redacted] Facilities on the final draft of the first report's results and their conclusions. 7 November he was to deliver copies of that draft to [redacted] [redacted] for review and criticisms. Pending DD/S&T's reaction to the finished report, a verbal presentation will probably be given by the contractors to answer any remaining questions.